

This article was downloaded by: [Northwest Fisheries Science Ctr - F-NWC]

On: 11 June 2012, At: 13:51

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Fisheries

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:  
<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ufsh20>

### Untested assumptions

Peter B. Moyle<sup>a</sup> & Joshua A. Israel<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Wildlife, Fish, and Conservation Biology and the Center for Integrated Watershed Science and Management, University of California–Davis

<sup>b</sup> Graduate Group in Ecology, University of California–Davis and is president of the Salmonid Restoration Federation

Available online: 09 Jan 2011

To cite this article: Peter B. Moyle & Joshua A. Israel (2005): Untested assumptions, *Fisheries*, 30:5, 20-28

To link to this article: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1577/1548-8446\(2005\)30\[20:UA\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1577/1548-8446(2005)30[20:UA]2.0.CO;2)

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

1 1 7 7 7 7

# feature

## ABSTRACT

# Untested assumptions effectiveness of screening diversions for conservation of fish populations

1

# feature

n r n

## Basic questions



γ γ

# feature

**Diversions in the Central Valley**

***Methods***

## Results

### *General results*



γ γ

# feature

*Reverse versions*

*Delta versions*

## Comparative studies

**What is lacking is the means to prioritize screening projects aside from size and location or to find alternatives to them**

7

# feature

**D scuss on**



## Recommendations

**Increasingly fisheries are being managed using models that take into account uncertainty. It should be possible to develop and apply such models to the impacts of unscreened diversions on fish populations.**

## Conclusions

## Acknowledgements

## References

